Bush Prairie HCP Memorandum Comment Form: Species Memorandum

Commenter name and affiliation: Wendy Steffensen, LOTT

Page	Paragraph	Comment
3	Table 1	Table 1 description of impact singles out the Port and City of Tumwater specifically. There should be mention of exactly who the HCP will benefit in one of the documents. For example, will the HCP terms apply to all equally, including LOTT, tribes, private land-holders, etc?
3,4,5	Table 1	It would be helpful to keep individual entries in the table on the same page rather than divided between two pages.
6	2	This paragraph makes it sound as if there will only be one mitigation site. It seems that there may be multiple because of the different needs of the different covered species, Olympia pocket gopher versus the Streaked horned lark, for example.

Commenter name and affiliation: Cynthia Wilson, Christina Chaput, and Andrew Deffobis, Thurston County

Page	Paragraph	Comment
1	2	Re: mitigating for SHL in Lewis County—this is inconsistent with the Area and Term memo, which mentions possible mitigation in the lower Columbia River
1	3	Missing word. "These lists collectively define the species which could benefit from coverage…"
1	3	Are you 100% sure that mitigation lands would not contain Oregon white oak (i.e. WSG habitat)? This HCP proposes to cover Yelm and Tenino pocket gopher in the event that they occur on mitigation lands. These species overlap with areas containing Oregon white oak habitat.
3-5	Table 1	Consider grouping all species covered at the top, or at the very least shading the rows that are proposed for coverage.
4	Mardon skipper table entry	Consider rewording range paragraph: "Western Washington prairies, and in Thurston County, primarily near Tenino. Also in the Mt. Adams area and the Klamath-Siskiyou area."